**<sup>1777</sup>**-**<sup>1779</sup>**

## **Efficient Methodology for the Synthesis of 2-C-Branched Glyco-amino Acids by Ring Opening of 1,2-Cyclopropanecarboxylated Sugars**

**Perali Ramu Sridhar, K. Chinna Ashalu, and S. Chandrasekaran\***

*Department of Organic Chemistry, Indian Institute of Science, Bangalore, India scn@orgchem.iisc.ernet.in*

**Received March 21, 2004**

**ABSTRACT**



Glyco-Amino-Acid (GAA) derivative

**An efficient methodology for the synthesis of 2-C-branched glyco-amino acid derivatives by diastereoselective ring opening of 1,2 cyclopropanecarboxylated sugars in good yields is reported.**

The past decade has seen several methods being introduced for the stereocontrolled cyclopropanation of glycals.<sup>1</sup> 1,2-Cyclopropanated sugars undergo ring opening to give 2-Cbranched sugars when subjected to solvolysis in the presence of a stoichiometric amount of mercury(II) salts,<sup>2</sup> strong acid,<sup>3</sup> or halonium ions.4 Recently, Madsen et al*.,*<sup>5</sup> synthesized 2-Cbranched carbohydrate derivatives using a Zeise reagent  $([Pt(C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>4</sub>)Cl<sub>2</sub>]<sub>2</sub>)$  catalyzed ring opening of 1,2-cyclopropanated sugars with *O*-nucleophiles. Their results prompted us to use 1,2-cyclopropanecarboxylated sugars as synthons for the synthesis of glyco-amino acids  $(GAAs)$ , utilizing their ability to undergo, in the presence of a protic solvent, electrophilic ring opening assisted by the adjacent oxygen

to furnish a 2-deoxy-2-C-branched glycoside with defined C-2 stereochemistry, inherently present in the cyclopropanecarboxylate.

Toward this end, tri-*O*-benzyl-D-glucal **1** was treated with methyl diazoacetate (MDA) in dichloromethane with catalytic rhodium acetate (rt, 90 min) to furnish the 1,5-anhydro-2-deoxy-1,2-*C*-(*exo*-carbomethoxymethylene)-3,4,6-tri-*O*benzyl- $\alpha$ -D-glucitol  $2^9$  in 59% yield. Treatment of 2 with NIS/MeOH (28 °C, 8 h) afforded methyl-3,4,6-tri-*O*-benzyl-2-deoxy-2-*C*-(iodomethyl acetate)-*â*-D-glucopyranoside **3** in 75% yield as a single diastereomer<sup>7</sup> in which two new stereocenters were introduced in a single reaction (Scheme 1). Further reaction of **3** with NaN<sub>3</sub>/DMF (28  $^{\circ}$ C, 24 h) afforded azide **4** in 96% yield. The reduction of azide **4** to the amine was unsuccessful under various hydrogenation conditions when 5% Pd/C was used as the catalyst. Reduction did occur satisfactorily using  $Ph_3P/THF/H_2O$  (Staudinger reaction conditions), the amine **5** typically being isolated in 95% yield. It is interesting to note that our benzyltriethylammonium tetrathiomolybdate reduction methodology<sup>8</sup> was

<sup>(1)</sup> For a recent review on the preparation and ring opening of cyclopropanated carbohydrates, see: Cousins, G. S.; Hoberg. J. O. *Chem. Soc. Re*V. **<sup>2000</sup>**, *<sup>29</sup>*, 165.

<sup>(2)</sup> Scott, R. W.; Heathcock, C. H. *Carbohydr. Res*. **1996**, *291*, 205. (3) (a) Kim, C.; Hoang, R.; Theodorakis, E. A. *Org. Lett*. **1999**, *1*, 1295.

<sup>(</sup>b) Hoberg, J. O.; Lcaffey, D. J. *Tetrahedron Lett*. **1996**, *37*, 2533. (4) (a) Ramana, C. V.; Nagarajan, M. *Carbohydr. Lett*. **1998**, *3*, 117.

<sup>(</sup>b) Ramana, C. V.; Nagarajan, M. *Synlett* **1997**, 763. (c) Bertinato, P.; Sorensen, E. J.; Meng, D.; Danishefsky, S. J. *J. Org. Chem*. **1996**, *61*, 8000.

<sup>(5) (</sup>a) Beyer, J.; Madsen, R. *J. Am. Chem. Soc*. **1998**, *120*, 12137. (b) Beyer, J.; Skaanderup, R.; Madsen, R. *J. Am. Chem. Soc*. **2000**, *122*, 9575.

<sup>(6)</sup> A glyco-amino acid (GAA) is a saccharide attached to a single amino acid by any kind of covalent bond. McDevitt, J. P., Jr.; Lansbury, P. T. *J. Am. Chem. Soc*. **1996**, *118*, 3818.

<sup>(7)</sup> The 13C NMR spectra of compound **3** showed only 13 lines in addition to the aromatic signals.

<sup>(8)</sup> Sridhar, P. R.; Prabhu, K. R.; Chandrasekaran, S. *J. Org. Chem*. **2003**, *68*, 5261.





also effective for converting  $4$  into  $5$  (CH<sub>3</sub>CN, ))))), 28 °C, 6 h, 94%) (Scheme 1).

The aforementioned ring-opening of 1,2-cyclopropanecarboxylates could also be extended to the sugar derivatives. Thus, **6**, **10**, and **14** gave rise to the 2-C-branched glucoamino acid derivatives **9**, **13**, and **17**, respectively, in good yields (65-85%) and with very high diastereoselectivity at

**Table 1.** Ring Opening of 1,2-Cyclopropanecarboxylated Sugar Derivatives: Synthesis of GAAs



*<sup>a</sup>* TBDMS has been completely deprotected under NIS-mediated solvolytic ring opening of 1,2-cyclopropanecarboxylated sugar **6**. *<sup>b</sup>* Isolated yield after column chromatography. *<sup>c</sup>* The free OH in **8** was acetylated prior to reduction of the azide.



the newly formed C-1 and C-7 stereocenters (Table 1). The large coupling constant ( $J \sim 8.8$  Hz) for the anomeric proton in all the ring-opened products showed that the sugar derivatives had a 1,2-*trans* configuration.<sup>9</sup> The stereochemistry at C-2 was defined on the basis of the stereochemistry present in the 1,2-cyclopropanecarboxylated sugar precursors.

However, in the case of 1,5-anhydro-2-deoxy-1,2-*C*-(*exo*carbomethoxymethylene)-3,4,6-tri- $O$ -benzyl- $\alpha$ -D-galactol 10, the ring-opened product gave a mixture of  $\alpha$ , $\beta$ -diastereomers in a ratio of  $30:70^{10}$  (Table 1, entry 2). Treatment of compound **18** with NIS in anhydrous acetonitrile (4 Å molecular sieves) furnished the corresponding levoglucosan derivative **19** in good yield, which once again corroborated the 1,2-*trans* selectivity at the anomeric center. The iodide **19** was then converted to the azide **20** and this reduced under Staudinger reaction conditions or with tetrathiomolybdate to afford the levoglucosan-derived glyco-amino acid ester **21** in excellent yield (95%).

To ascertain the exact stereochemistry at C-7, the GAA derivative 17 was treated with phosgene  $(Et<sub>3</sub>N/CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>, 0)$ °C, 3 h) to furnish the corresponding urea derivative **22** as a crystalline solid (Scheme 2), and it was subjected to X-ray crystallographic analysis. The crystal structure of compound **22** (Figure 1) was in accord with our stereochemical assignment for the ring-opened products.<sup>11</sup>



**Figure 1.** ORTEP diagram of compound **22**12.

In conclusion, we have developed an effective method for the synthesis of 2-C-branched glyco-amino acid derivatives by diastereoselective ring opening of 1,2-cyclopropanecarboxylated sugars. Further studies on the application of these new GAAs and the synthesis of glycopeptides by the above methodology are currently under investigation.

**Acknowledgment.** P.R.S. thanks the Council of Scientific and Industrial Research, India, for a senior research fellowship.

**Supporting Information Available:** <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C NMR data for all the ring-opened products, azides, and GAA derivatives, <sup>1</sup> H <sup>1</sup> H COSY spectra for compounds **15**, **16**, and **17**, and crystallographic data for compound **22**. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.

## OL049467V

<sup>(9)</sup> The high diastereoselectivity at the anomeric center may be attributed to the neighboring group (COOMe) participation.

<sup>(10)</sup> Based on HPLC and 1H NMR spectroscopy.

<sup>(11)</sup> The configuration of all GAA derivatives were assigned on the basis of the coupling constants and also the structure of compound **22.**

<sup>(12)</sup> One molecule of water was observed in the crystal structure. Crystal data for **22** (C<sub>49</sub>H<sub>62</sub>N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>14</sub>):  $M_r = 908$ , monoclinic, space group *P*2<sub>1</sub>,  $a = 12.1940 \text{ Å}$ .  $b = 19.8738 \text{ Å}$ .  $c = 17.5201 \text{ Å}$ .  $\beta = 93.670(10)^\circ$ .  $V =$ 12.1940 Å,  $b = 19.8738$  Å,  $c = 17.5201$  Å,  $\beta = 93.670(10)^\circ$ ,  $V = 2499.75(27)$  Å<sup>3</sup>  $Z = 2$  Mo Kg radiation ( $\lambda = 0.710.73$  Å)  $T = 293(2)$  Kg 2499.75(27) Å<sup>3</sup>, *Z* = 2, Mo Kα radiation ( $λ$  = 0.710 73 Å), *T* = 293(2) K;  $R_1 = 0.0578$ , w $R_2 = 0.115$  ( $I > 2\sigma(I)$ );  $R_1 = 0.102$ , w $R_2 = 0.132$  (all data).